1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	SU SHIN,	Case No. C08-05626-RBL
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	SECOND STIPULATION AND
13	ESURANCE INSURANCE COMPANY,	ORDER RE: RULE 26 DEADLINES
14	a Wisconsin corporation; ESURANCE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY	
15	INSURANCE COMPANY, a California corporation; ESURANCE INC., a Delaware	NOTED FOR CONSIDERATION: February 4, 2009
16	corporation; and ESURANCE INSURANCE SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20	<u>STIPULATION</u>	
21	Plaintiff Su Shin, through her undersigned counsel, and Defendants Esurance Insurance	
22	Company; Esurance Property And Casualty Insurance Company; Esurance Inc.; and Esurance	
23	Insurance Services, Inc., through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:	
24	1. On October 17, 2008, the Court entered its Minute Order Regarding Initia	
25	Disclosures, Joint Status Report, And Early Settlement [Docket No. 3] (the "Scheduling	
26	Order"), establishing deadlines for the parties to confer under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), to make	
	SECOND STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: RULE 26 DEADLINES – 1 Case No. C08-05626-RBL	DLA Piper LLP (US) 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7000 Seattle, WA 98104-7044 • Tel: 206.839.4800

initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), and to submit a joint status report to the Court under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and Local Civil Rule 16.

- 2. On December 18, 2008, Plaintiff filed her First Amended Complaint [Docket No. 5], adding new defendant entities and new allegations.
- 3. In light of the filing of the First Amended Complaint, the parties stipulated to extend the Rule 26 deadlines in the Scheduling Order. On December 30, 2008, the Court approved the parties' stipulation and entered an Order [Docket No. 7] extending the deadline for the parties to confer to February 2, 2009, the deadline for initial disclosures to February 9, 2009, and the deadline for the Joint Status Report and Discovery plan to February 16, 2009.
- 4. On January 22, 2009, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint [Docket No. 8]. In the Motion to Dismiss, Defendants assert that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over this action, and that the First Amended Complaint should be dismissed, in its entirety, with prejudice. Plaintiff will oppose the Motion to Dismiss, and the parties recently stipulated (and asked the Court to order) that the deadline for Plaintiffs' opposition be extended to February 17, 2009, and the deadline for Defendants' Reply be extended to March 2, 2009.
- 5. In light of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, and the challenge to the Court's subject matter jurisdiction, the parties stipulate and agree that the Rule 26 deadlines should be temporarily suspended. The parties respectfully request that the current Rule 26 deadlines set forth in the Court's December 30, 2008 Order be stricken. If, within 45 days of the close of briefing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the Court has not ruled on the Motion to Dismiss, the parties respectfully request that the Court conduct a status conference with the parties to discuss the Rule 26 deadlines and discovery issues.
- 6. The parties further stipulate and agree that, notwithstanding the temporary suspension of the Rule 26 deadlines, Plaintiff may request authority from the Court to conduct limited discovery to support Plaintiff's theory that the "juridical link" doctrine applies to

1	Defendants. Defendants reserve the right to oppose any such request for limited discovery by		
2	Plaintiff.		
3	Based on the parties' stipulation and agreement, the parties respectfully request that the		
4	Court vacate the deadlines in the December 30, 2008 Order and enter this stipulated Order		
5	establishing the process set forth above.		
6	DATED this 4 th day of February, 2009.		
7			
8		/s/ Brian D. Buckley	
9		Brian D. Buckley, WSBA No. 26423 brian.buckley@dlapiper.com	
10		DLA PIPER LLP (US)	
11		701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7000 Seattle, WA 98104-7044	
12		Telephone: 206.839.4800 Fax: 206.839.4801	
		Attorneys for Defendants	
13			
14			
15		/s/ Debra Brewer Hayes	
16		Debra Brewer Hayes (admitted pro hac vice)	
17		DHayes@dhayeslaw.com	
18		REICH & BINSTOCK 4265 San Felipe	
19		Suite 1000	
20		Houston, Texas 77027 Telephone: (713) 862-2152	
21		LOWENBERG, LOPEZ & HANSEN, PS	
22		Stephen M. Hansen	
23		950 Pacific Avenue, Ste. 450 Tacoma, WA 98402	
24		Telephone: (253) 383-1964	
		Fax: (253) 383-1808 llhlaw@aol.com	
25 26		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	SECOND STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: RULE 26 DEADLINES – 3	DLA Piper LLP (US) 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7000	

Seattle, WA 98104-7044 • Tel: 206.839.4800

Case No. C08-05626-RBL

ORDER

Pursuant to Stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The deadlines pursuant to FED R. CIV. P. 26 and Local Civil Rule 16 established by the Court's December 30, 2008 Order [Docket No. 7] are hereby vacated.
- 2. If the Court has not issued a ruling on Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss [Docket No. 8] within 45 days of the close of briefing on the Motion to Dismiss, the parties may contact the Court to schedule a status conference to discuss the Rule 26 deadlines and/or other discovery issues.
- 3. This Order is without prejudice to Plaintiff's right to request from the Court permission to conduct limited discovery related to Plaintiff's "juridical link" theory, and is without prejudice to Defendants' right to oppose any such request.

DATED this 5TH day of February, 2009.

RONALD B. LEIGHTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE